

Our Degenerate Age Is Eager To Vilify The Decent

By FRANK MORRISS

The Wanderer
3/17/94

Events are confirming Dr. Samuel A. Nigro's analysis of the cultic nature of homosexual activism — its insistent and devious recruitment, its pretense of righteousness and even superiority, its attempt to vilify all critics or even all in disagreement.

The reaction to Pope John Paul's condemnation of a vote by Europe's Parliament that would legalize homosexual marriage and "parenting" brought rabid and deceptive attacks from the homosexual cult. The Pope's views were consigned to the period of the Inquisition, it was a case of "papal hysteria," it was "totally reactionary," it was an attempt to undermine national sovereignties, it was an attempt to demonize homosexuals.

Anyone who had read Dr. Nigro's paper "Homosexuality: A Disease and a Cult" (available from *Social Justice Review*, 3835 Westminster Pl., St. Louis, Mo., 63108) could have predicted this response before it was made. Here is what Dr. Nigro said that is being now evidenced by the homosexual reactions:

"Unable to recognize their own rejecting of normals, homosexuals cannot abide rejection by normals. Unable to admit to their own phobia of heterosexuality, they project it onto others as a fiction called 'homophobia.' Unable to get the traditional good life benefits from the homosexual life-style, they will try to impose it as equal to the traditional. Unable to be secure, homosexuals want the sympathetic understanding and tolerance they themselves are unwilling to give. . . . When ignored or mocked back, they end up angrily offended."

Nothing the Pope said merited, even in disagreement, the response made and undoubt-

edly yet to be increasingly made. The Holy Father affirmed that true family relationships and true parenting are not granted by the natural law to those of the same sex. He properly identified the European Parliament's resolution (sponsored by a female member of the German Green Party) as an attempt to "confer institutional value on deviant behavior."

It takes all the fanaticism of a cult follower to see that as being inquisitorial, reactionary,

(Continued on Page 10)

Our Degenerate Age Is Eager To Vilify The Decent

(Continued from Page 4)

hysterical, or demonizing. This reaction — irrational, insulting, diversionary — is clearly a ploy toward protecting homosexual cult-hood and discrediting any resistance to the cult's purposes and agenda.

Another related development within hours of the Pope's words further illustrates Dr. Nigro's position. Britain's House of Commons voted to let youths consent to homosexual acts at the age of 18 rather than 21. "Gays" were angered that the House had not approved a yet lower age — 16. The claim of the bill's sponsor — this time a female Conservative Party MP — was that it was necessary to make all rights equal, since British law allows 16-year-old males to marry and 10-year-old males to be convicted of rape. But that presumes that homosexual sex is on a level with sex between married men and women and that rape of a female by a male is comparable to sex between an older homosexual and a younger same-sex person. The argument of equality serves to normalize homosexual activity, ignoring some very pertinent differences.

It is fact that the homosexual life-style generally involves multiple partners, especially in regard to the male. Relatively early death accompanies homosexual activity due to the pathologies that are usually joined to it. Homosexual sex is sometimes used as a recruitment method to bring new practitioners into that life-style, who might otherwise have been heterosexual in practice. It should also be kept in mind that many homosexuals, especially males, prefer sex with young partners. The claim that lowering the age of consent is a matter of equal rights ignores the implications of those realities.

What are we to say about "legalized" homosexual "parenting" in light of the truth that parenting involves role modeling, passing on of values and outlooks, and guidance of children's choices? Are homosexuals who might sexually involve their "children" (given them

by law as proposed by the European Parliament) going to be held guilty of child abuse as quickly and easily as might parents who have incestuous sex with their natural children? Should there be an early age of consent to incestuous sex with parents by natural children, say 12 or 14 for girls, or will we continue the natural inclination to say that fathers who demand sex with their daughters are always guilty of abuse, and if so that surely must be held true for men who have sex with adopted boys? Will it be?

The Pope has demonstrated once again that the Church through Christ's Vicar shall continue to defend decency, the natural law, and social sanity no matter how corrupt and debauched society itself becomes. There are still actions that should not be thinkable by the decent, much less considered so by believers in God and His laws. Homosexuality in practice is one of those things. That homosexual "marriage" and the right of homosexuals to adopt is being advanced as a matter of right, without regard to its consequences and implications, is a sure sign that "progress" and "technology" and "compassion" and "toleration" can mark an age as corrupt and debased as at any time in history. It is not the Pope who is benighted, reactionary, or hysterical. It is not the Church that is bringing about a return to the darkness the world once knew.

The world seems determined to sink back into the darkness from which Christianity lifted it. It is showing a preference for the filth from which it was rescued when Christianity saved only what was best of classical civilization, and let rot away the immoralities and lusts that stained that civilization.

It is time that we read Augustine once again in order to know the truth about this age. Augustine wrote that it is possible to know what kind of people make up a city by what those people love. Looking at what today's people love should make us weep. Is there any doubt about whose side we should be on if we wish to be good — the Pope's or society's?